## IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH SHIMLA

## CWP No.2969 of 2016

Date of Decision: 22.05.2017

Shri Narender Kumar Reddy and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh and others

.Respondents.

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Karol, Acting Chief Justice. The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Tarlok Singh Chauhan, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting? No.

For the Petitioners : Mr. Rajnish Maniktala , Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Romesh Verma and Mr. Anup

Rattan, Addl. A.Gs. and Mr. Neeraj K. Sharma, Dy. A.G. for the State.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.S.G.I. with Ms. Sukarma, Advocate for the

Union of India.

## Sanjay Karol, Acting Chief Justice (oral)

It cannot be disputed that the directions contained in judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Civil Appeal No.3194 of 2016, titled as State of Punjab & Another vs. Brijeshwar Singh Chahal & Another, are to be complied with, in letter and spirit, by all, more so by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

the respondents/ State. As such, we direct the respondents/ State to comply with the same, in accordance with law.

In view of the aforesaid, present petition stands disposed of. Liberty reserved to the petitioners to approach the Court, if need so arises subsequently.

Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol), Acting Chief Justice

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge.